

Criteria for review: CDIO Projects in Progress

The CDIO Projects in Progress track focuses on papers describing initial development, current activities, preliminary results or particular implementations of CDIP principles without evaluation. It must be a **description interesting to other members of the CDIO community**. In formulating the criteria below, the aim was to ensure this.

Overall relevance	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Is the topic relevant and interesting for the CDIO Initiative?
Aim or problem	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Is it clear what the paper is trying to achieve, what problem it addresses?
Approach	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Does the paper adequately explain how the problem is approached?▪ Are limitations discussed?
Conclusions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Are the claims supported?▪ Does the paper deliver a take-away message for the community?
Coherence and clarity	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Is the paper clearly and logically structured?▪ Do the parts contribute to the whole?▪ Is the paper readable and language appropriate for the audience?▪ Is the APA and other formatting requirements followed?