



Dr. Aykan Karademir





• A project was conducted by Turkish and Romanian researchers to investigate the scientific risk assessment processes applied in te management of contaminated sites in Romania and Turkey.

- The project included the steps of
 - identifying the methodologies for assessment of risks to human health posed by the contaminated sites,
 - conducting some case studies with the aim of understanding how to put into practice the concept of risk assessment and methods to minimize it,
 - discussion of the uncertainties present in the risk assessment processes, and
 - validation of the risk assessment model for the reduction of environmental pollution caused by the contaminated sites.

• The presentation includes a brief assessment of project findings and some discussions on the management of contaminated sites in Turkey.



Legal Procedure in Turkey

Soil Pollution Control and Point-Source-Contaminated Sites Regulation (will be active in 2015)

Contaminated Sites Identification and Registration System (CSIRS) Contaminated Sites Evaluation System (CSES) Contaminated Sites Cleaun-Up System (CSCS)

At the present, no inventory of contaminated sites.









- Legal Procedure in Romania
- National Strategy and National Action Plan for the Management of Contaminated Sites in Romania was completed and published in August 2013.
- Contaminated sites management system includes four steps: 1. identification and registration; 2. preliminary assessment, 3. detailed assessment and 4. remediation.

Detalied assessment of contaminated sites requires the following steps:

- Site characterization and its contamination.
- Generic Risk Assessment. Concentrations of contaminants measured is compared with the soil quality standard in order to define the transfer pathways, and the generic scenario will include the inhalation of fugitive dust, inhalation of volatiles, groundwater ingestion, dermal absorption. If standard values are exceeded, it will begin the risk assessment for all potential open pathways. Also, all transfer pathways will be identified.
- Detailed Risk Assessment (site-specific)



During the study, contaminated sites from both Romania and Turkey were selected for application of generic and site-specific risk assessment scenarios.

The sites selected for the risk assessment studies:

- Copșa Mică in Romania
- TEDAŞ Gölbaşı Transformer Repair and Maintenance Area in Turkey.

CONTAMINATED SITE IN ROMANIA

Copşa Mică is a town in Sibiu County, Romania. Copşa Mică (and its surroundings) is known in the recent past as the most polluted town in Europe; especially with heavy metals - iron and steel industry.



CONTAMINATED SITE IN ROMANIA

Dynamics of the total content of heavy metals (mg / kg) in arable horizon (0-20 cm) of the polluted soils from Copşa Mică

Chamical		1990			1993			1995	
compund	min	max.	med.	min.	max.	med.	min.	max.	med.
Cd	1,5	31,5	8,7	2,5	28,0	7,7	1,8	15,1	4,3
Cu	20	370	75	30	110	51	25	92	37
Pb	25	805	228	85	735	243	35	584	165
Zn	110	765	465	190	1640	514	134	1350	407

CONTAMINATED SITE IN TURKEY

Site Holder	Location	Activity/Use	Contamination Type	Background/Description/Status
TEDAS	Golbasi District (20 km S of Ankara)	Transformer repair and maintenance	PCBs	Located adjacent to a marsh declared environmental protection area and 300m of Lake Eymir Facility operated as a main repair/maintenance operation from 1978-1995. Extensive evidence of PCB contamination in adjacent water, sediments including hot spots>50 ppm Limited on site soil contamination data available (464 PPM)



GENERIC SCENARIO FOR COPŞA MICA

Limit values for generic scenario

Pollutant	Soil ingestion and dermal adsorption	Inhalation of fly ashes	Transfer of pollutants t groundwater (mg/kg dry soil)	
	(mg / kg dry soi)	(mg / kg dry soil)	Dilution Factor (DF) = 10	DF = 1
Arsenic	0,4	471	3	0,3
Berilium	0,1	843	0,1	0,01
Cadmium	70	1124	27	3
Chrome (VI)	235	24	10	1
Lead	400	-	135	14
Nickel	1564	-	13	1

If the distance to the aquifer is less than 3 m, the aquifer crack or karstic, or pollution source area is greater than 10 hectares, dilution factor (DF) must be considered "1". DF must be considered "10" in other cases.

GENERIC SCENARIO FOR COPŞA MICA

• Is site-specific risk assessment necessary?

Pollutant	Soil ingestion and dermal adsorption	Inhalation of fly ashes	Transfer of pollutants to groundwater
Arsenic	Yes	No	Yes
Berilium	Yes	No	Yes
Cadmium	No	No	Yes
Chrome (VI)	No	No	Yes
Lead	No	No	Yes
Nickel	Yes	No	Yes

GENERIC SCENARIO FOR TEDAŞ

Limit values for generic scenario

Pollutant	Inhalation of Soil ingestion fly ashes and dermal adsorption		Transfer of groundwater (mg/kg dry soi	pollutants to I)
	(mg / kg dry soi)	(mg / kg dry soil)	Dilution Factor (DF) = 10	DF = 1
PCB 2	0,2		0,03	0,003
PCB 3	6		0,9	0,09

2 For all mixtures excluding Arochlor 1016

3 For Arochlor 1016 mixtures.

Aroclor 1016 contains mono- through hexachlorinated homologs with an average chlorine content of 41%.

GENERIC SCENARIO FOR TEDAŞ

Soil PCB contamination in TEDAŞ (Karakaş et al., 2013)

Pollutant	Surface Soil (h < 20 cm) (mg/kg)	Deep Soil (h > 20 cm) (mg/kg)
PCB (Arochlor 1016)	0,014	0,019
PCB (Arochlor 1260)	0,065	0,07

Is site-specific risk assessment necessary?

Pollutant	Soil ingestion and dermal adsorption	Inhalation of fly ashes	Transfer of pollutants to groundwater
PCB (Arochlor 1016)	No	No	No
PCB (Arochlor 1260)	No	No	No

SITE-SPECIFIC SCENARIO FOR TEDAŞ

- Performed by Recoland v1.0 (developed by Romanian team) Carcinogen risk for only PCB 118 (2,3,4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl)
- Computations were made for 5 receptor points and risk was taken as average.
- Average risk 1.51 x 10 -2 is higher than the acceptable risk of 1 x 10 6

Receptor points	PCB 118
1	1.53E-02
2	1.15E-02
3	2.60E-02
4	3.48E-04
5	2.22E-02
Average risk	1.51E-02

RESULTS

- There is a critical need for expertise for the determination of the exposure pathways related to a contaminated site.
- Decision on the generic or site-specific risk assessment may lead to different risk estimation results.
- For the carcinogenic pollutants (especially the carcinogenic POPs), application of site-specific risk assessment is vvery important.
- The consequences and assessments related to te generic risk assessment procedures are generally similar for both Turkey and Romania, while site-specific risk assessments performed through different exposure and modeling methodologies may produce different results and assessments for same contaminated site.
- The necessity for a parameter standardization and validation of models used in the risk assessment for setting a reliable risk-based contaminated site management is emphasized.



Aykan Karademir^a, Diana Cocarta^b, Recep Kaya Göktaş^a, Diana Robescu^c

 ^a University of KOCAELİ, Department of Environmental Engineering, Kocaeli, TURKEY.
^b University POLITEHNICA of Bucharest, Faculty of Power Engineering, Department of Energy Production and Use, Bucharest, ROMANIA.
^c University POLITEHNICA of Bucharest, Faculty of Power Engineering, Department of Hydraulics, Hydraulic Machinery and Environmental Engineering, Bucharest, ROMANIA.

The project (112Y226) was supported by the cooperation of TÜBİTAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey) and ANCS (Autoritatea Naţională pentru Cercetare Ştiinţifică şi Inovare). Authors thank to these institutions for financial support.

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENDANCE