A translog approach for estimating the costs of improving waste water treatment in catchments draining into the Baltic Sea

James C R Smart¹, Berit Hasler¹, Mikolaj Czajkowski², Erik Smedberg³, Anders Fonnesbech-Wulff¹ and Mette Termansen¹

1Environmental Social Science Group, Department of Environmental Science, Aarhus University 2 Ecological Economics Centre, University of Warsaw 3 Baltic Nest Institute, Stockholm Resilience Centre, Stockholm University

Abstract

Enhanced waste water treatment (WWT) will play a major role in meeting the Baltic Sea Action Plan (BSAP) targets for improving water quality in the Baltic Sea by 2021. Improved WWT therefore features as an important emissions reduction measure in catchment-scale models such as BALTCOST which aim to identify cost-minimised, spatially-specific implementations of emissions reduction measures around the Baltic (Hasler et al 2011). Reliable location-specific estimates of average and marginal abatement costs are central to the validity of these cost-minimisation models.

To date, it has proved difficult to obtain country- and catchment-specific estimates of both the potential for improving WWT and the costs which would be incurred in implementing these improvements (Schou et al 2006, Gren 2008, Elofsson 2010). Here we use a translog approach (Christensen & Greene 1976, Caves et al 1981) to estimate total, average and marginal cost functions for tertiary-level WWT from a panel of firm-level WWT cost data from Denmark. The estimated translog cost function is found to be compatible with a Box-Cox form estimate of the scale response of WWT costs in Poland (Berbeka et al). In addition, the translog approach also provides estimates of the elasticities of WWT cost with respect to the prices of three key inputs: labour, energy and capital reinvestment/renewals. These elasticities are applied, together with GIS-derived estimations of the percentages of local populations currently connected to WWT networks, to estimate the potential for improving WWT at particular locations around the Baltic Sea, and the costs which would be incurred in making those improvements.

Translog results indicate that WWT costs are sensitive to the scale of operation and to variations in the price of inputs to the WWT process. This suggests that there is likely to be considerable variation in the cost improving WWT between the various catchments which drain into the Baltic Sea. The costeffectiveness of WWT as a measure for improving Baltic water quality is therefore likely to vary very substantially between locations when further differences in the physical retention of nitrogen and phosphorus within the different catchments are also accounted for in spatially-specific costminimisation models.

References:

Caves DW, Christensen LR & Swanson JA (1981) Productivity growth, scale economics and capacity utilization in US railroads 1955-74, The American Economic Review, 71(5), 994-1002.

Christensen LR & Greene WH (1976) Economies of scale in US electric power generation. The Journal of Political Economy. 84(4), 655-676.

Berbeka K, Czajkowski M & Markowska A (forthcoming) Municipal wastewater treatment in Poland as a means of reducing nutrient loadings – efficiency, costs and returns to scale.

Elofsson K (2010) Cost-effectiveness of the Baltic Sea Action Plan, Marine Policy, 34(5), 1043-1050.

Gren I-M (2008) Adaptation and mitigation strategies for controlling stochastic water pollution: an application to the Baltic Sea, Ecological Economics, 66(2-3), 337-347.

Hasler B, Smart JCR, Czajkowski M, Elofsson K, Was A, Konrad MTH, Fonnesbech-Wulf A & Zylich T (2011) The RECOCA and BALTCOST models: integrated modelling to support cost-effective nutrient management in the Baltic Sea. Presentation to BalticSTERN Steering Group, 8th September 2011, Stockholm.

Schou J, Neye ST, Lundhede T, Martinsen L & Hasler B (2006) Modelling cost-efficient reductions of nutrient loads to the Baltic Sea, NERI Technical Report No. 592.

