

## Criteria for review: Advances in CDIO

The Advances in CDIO track requires a full paper meeting criteria for **scholarliness** and **usefulness** for improving the CDIO initiative. In formulating the criteria below, the aim was to balance the aspects related to scholarliness and usefulness.

<b>Overall relevance</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Is the topic relevant, significant, interesting and timely for the CDIO Initiative?</li> <li>▪ Is it augmented why it is an advancement of CDIO?</li> </ul>
<b>Literature</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Is the paper informed by relevant literature focusing on the same topic?</li> <li>▪ Is the paper informed by papers from previous CDIO conferences focusing on the same topic?</li> <li>▪ Is it put into good use here?</li> </ul>
<b>Aim or problem</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Is it clear what the paper is trying to achieve, what problem it addresses?</li> </ul>
<b>Approach</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Does the paper adequately explain how the problem is approached and how the argument is built?</li> <li>▪ Are limitations critically discussed?</li> </ul>
<b>Conclusions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Do conclusions address the stated problem or aim?</li> <li>▪ Are the claims credibly supported?</li> <li>▪ Does the paper deliver a take-away message for the community?</li> </ul>
<b>Coherence and clarity</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Is the paper clearly and logically structured?</li> <li>▪ Do the parts contribute to the whole?</li> <li>▪ Can the reasoning be followed through the paper?</li> <li>▪ Is the APA and other formatting requirements followed?</li> <li>▪ Is the paper readable and language appropriate for the audience?</li> </ul>