

## Criteria for review: CDIO Implementation

The CDIO Implementation track requires a full paper meeting criteria for a particular implementation of CDIO principles in a practical setting. It must be a **good practice proven through evaluation**. In formulating the criteria below, the aim was to ensure this.

<b>Overall relevance</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Is the topic relevant, significant, interesting and timely for the CDIO Initiative?</li><li>▪ Is it augmented why it is a good practice?</li></ul>
<b>Literature</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Is the paper informed by papers from previous CDIO conferences focusing on the same topic?</li><li>▪ Is it put into good use here?</li></ul>
<b>Aim or problem</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Is it clear what the paper is trying to achieve, what problem it addresses?</li></ul>
<b>Approach</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Does the paper adequately explain how the problem is approached and how the argument is built?</li><li>▪ Are limitations critically discussed?</li></ul>
<b>Conclusions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Are the claims credibly supported?</li><li>▪ Does the paper deliver a take-away message for the community?</li></ul>
<b>Coherence and clarity</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Is the paper clearly and logically structured?</li><li>▪ Do the parts contribute to the whole?</li><li>▪ Is the paper readable and language appropriate for the audience?</li><li>▪ Is the APA and other formatting requirements followed?</li></ul>