Competitiveness and innovation towards green growth in developing countries: a case study of Brazil

Camila Gramkow
PhD student, Tyndall Centre for
Climate Change Research, University
of East Anglia, UK

15th Global Conference on Environmental Taxation 24-25 September 2014

# Plat du jour

- Background introduction
- Literature survey
- Hypotheses and model development
- Data
- Results and discussion
- Conclusion



## **Background** introduction

- Addressing climate change & historic challenges of development
  - "We are not in the face of new problems, but of old problems that have become more severe" Raul Prebisch
- Green leapfrogging/Tunnelling through the EKC
  - > Avoid carbon lock-in
  - > Enhance well-being
  - Promote environmental protection
- Can green innovation promote competitiveness?
- Can the tax system promote green innovation?

# Why Brazil?

- Prominent role in the economy:
  - In 2011, it became the sixth largest economy in the world
  - > In less than 10 years Brazil has doubled its share of the global GDP (from 1.5% in 2003 to 3.3% in 2011)
- Social improvements
- Green power: forests, water, biodiversity
  - However, Brazil`s growth has been occurring at the cost of ever increasing fossil fuel burning

# Literature survey

- What is green innovation?
  - implementation of a new or significantly improved product (good or service), or process, or a new organizational method in business practice, that is either motivated by environmental improvement aims (i.e. this is its intention) or, more broadly, whose use is (effectively) less environmentally harmful than available alternatives (regardless of intention)
- What is economic competitiveness?
  - > Intangible
  - Labour productivity as proxy: pros and cons
- Limited literature in the case of developing countries
- Main findings:
  - Sometimes of the competitive of the competitive strategies.
  - Evidence of positive, yet indirect influence of green innovations (environmental impact-reducing technologies) on competitiveness (in terms of reduced costs and/or improved quality, of value added and of operational performance)
  - Evidence that the determinants of green innovation are similar to those of non-green innovation
  - Evidence of demand-led competitive gains regardless of its environmental sustainability (Kaldor-Verdoorn Law)
  - No literature on taxes & green innovation

## Hypotheses

- Hypothesis 1: economic competitiveness is enhanced by innovation (green and nongreen separately) and demand forces
  - The <u>level</u> of labour productivity is positively associated with innovation (green and nongreen separately) and demand components
  - > The <u>rate</u> of labour productivity is positively associated with innovation (green and nongreen separately) and demand components.
- Hypothesis 2: tests the determinants of innovation (both green and non-green)
  - > Traditional determinants and taxes

# Model development

- 1a:  $LP_i = Gl_i + NGl_i + FD_i + \varepsilon_i$
- 1b:  $LPC_i = GI_i + NGI_i + FDC_i + \varepsilon_i$
- 2a:  $GI_i = AV_i + OC_i + IE_i + ORD_i + TAX_i + \varepsilon_i$
- 2b:  $NGI_i = AV_i + OC_i + IE_i + ORD_i + TAX_i + \varepsilon_i$
- Dummies:
  - > DP for pollution intensive sectors
  - DR for leading product innovation strategy
- Generalized Least Squares analysis on a pooled cross-sectional database at industry level

### Data

- Sources: National Innovation Survey & National Accounting System
- Data treatment:
  - > Correspondence
  - Real values
  - Compound rate of change
- 24 manufacturing sectors
- 2005-2008
- Multicollinearity & heteroskedasticity tests

#### Model 1a

>  $LP_{2008} = GI_{2006-2008} + DPGI_{2006-2008} + NGI_{2006-2008} + DPNGI_{2006-2008} + EXP_{2005-2008} + DREXP_{2005-2008} + HH_{2005-2008} + DRHH_{2005-2008} + INV_{2005-2008} + DRINV_{2005-2008} + EXP_{2005-2008} + EXP_{200$ 

#### Model 1b

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{LPC}_{2005\text{-}2008} = \;\; \mathsf{GI}_{2006\text{-}2008} + \mathsf{DPGI}_{2006\text{-}2008} + \\ \mathsf{NGI}_{2006\text{-}2008} + \mathsf{DPNGI}_{2006\text{-}2008} + \mathsf{EXP}_{2005\text{-}2008} + \\ \mathsf{DREXP}_{2005\text{-}2008} + \mathsf{HH}_{2005\text{-}2008} + \mathsf{DRHH}_{2005\text{-}2008} + \\ \mathsf{INV}_{2005\text{-}2008} + \mathsf{DRINV}_{2005\text{-}2008} + \epsilon \\ \end{array}$ 

Model	Model 1a	Model 1b		
Dependent variable	LP	LPC		
Independent variables	Coefficient	Coefficient	VIF	Tolerance
Constant	-34,808.03***	-0.0458008		
GI	357,723.2***	0.5563681***	3.66	0.2732
DPGI	-499,520.4**	-0.7000317**	10.54	0.0948
NGI	160,825.4***	-0.3073532*	2.72	0.3674
DPNGI	402,999.9**	0.3665873*	6.99	0.1430
EXP	35,835.9***	0.0031559	1.94	0.5150
DREXP	23,367.43***	-0.1078589*	3.00	0.3335
нн	-77,161.59***	0.3005199***	3.29	0.3044
DRHH	80,667.89***	-0.1323026	4.19	0.2386
INV	2,355.456***	0.0036962***	1.53	0.6527
DRINV	-1,865.774	0.0769221	3.40	0.2944
Log likelihood	-235.387	53.94483		
P > Chi squared	0.0000	0.0000		

#### Model 2a:

>  $GI_{2006-2008} = AV_{2008} + OC_{2008} + IE_{2008} + DPIE_{2008} + ORD_{2008} + DPORD_{2008} + II_{2005} + IPI_{2005} + ICMS_{2005} + \epsilon$ 

#### Model 2b:

>  $NGI_{2006-2008} = AV_{2008} + OC_{2008} + IE_{2008} + DPIE_{2008} + ORD_{2008} + DPORD_{2008} + II_{2005} + IPI_{2005} + ICMS_{2005} + \epsilon$ 

Model	Model 2a	Model 2b		
Dependent variable	GI	NGI		
Independent variables	Coefficient	Coefficient	VIF	Tolerance
Constant	-0.2397567***	-0.3551594**		
AV	0.0537841***	0.0482419***	3.24	0.3082
ос	-0.0454813***	-0.0172445**	3.39	0.2954
IE	0.2150534***	0.2809543 **	1.73	0.5789
DPIE	2.616154***	-0.5982864***	8.79	0.1137
ORD	0.0028307***	0.0013396	1.18	0.8496
DPORD	-0.0351601***	0.0044417	8.28	0.1208
П	-0.378663***	0.6955839***	2.82	0.3547
IPI	-0.1685946***	-0.058561*	8.59	0.1164
ICMS	0.1941354***	0.0480294	8.10	0.1235
Log likelihood	74.75358	63.29897		
P > Chi squared	0.0000	0.0000		

## Conclusions

- Results corroborated the hypotheses
- Green innovation can be a driver of long term economic development
- Demand components also have an important role
- Impact of taxes on green innovation is complex, which may represent an opportunity for reform

# Thank you!

Camila Gramkow

c.gramkow@uea.ac.uk



